



RANDOLPH A SMITH  
SMITH PATENT OFFICE  
1901 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
SUITE 901  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

MAILED

NOV 23 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of  
Takuji Maeda et al.  
Application No. 10/567,241  
Filed: January 4, 2007  
Attorney Docket No. 0074/065001

:  
:  
ON PETITION  
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, filed November 9, 2011, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the Final Office Action mailed November 19, 2010. A response was filed April 19, 2011 with a two month extension of time, but by Advisory Action of May 4, 2011, petitioner was advised that the response did not place the application in condition for allowance. An amendment was filed May 19, 2011 with a three month extension. As the amendment did not place the application in condition for allowance, an Advisory Action was mailed June 2, 2011. Thereafter on June 9, 2011, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed.

Petitioner has submitted a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 2187 for processing of the RCE.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

<sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).